Response to Office Action of September 20, 2005 Application No. 10/038,203 Page 2

IN THE CLAIMS

Claim Listing

1-36. (Canceled)

37. (Previously presented) A method in a data processing system comprising: examining the nodes in a plurality of hierarchical trees;

determining if a node is present in only one tree by comparing two or more of the hierarchical trees; and

creating a merged tree based on the nodes in the hierarchical trees.

- 38. (Previously presented) The method of claim 37 further comprising:

 creating a reference node to the node determined to be present in only one tree if a node
 is determined to be present in only one tree; and
 adding the reference node to the merged tree.
- 39. (Previously presented) The method of claim 38 further comprising: creating the reference node in response to a determination that a node is present in only one tree.
- 40. (Previously presented) The method of claim 38 wherein the reference node is a pointer.

Response to Office Action of September 20, 2005 Application No. 10/038,203 Page 3

- 41. (Previously presented) The method of claim 38 wherein the reference node is a Java reference.
 - 42. (Previously presented) The method of claim 37 further comprising: determining if the hierarchical trees comprise a set of equivalent nodes.
- 43. (Previously presented) The method of claim 42 further comprising: selecting the node with the highest priority from the set of equivalent nodes if the hierarchical trees comprise a set of equivalent nodes.
 - 44. (Previously presented) The method of claim 43 further comprising: creating a shallow clone of the selected node; and adding the shallow clone to the merged tree.
- 45. (Previously presented) The method of claim 37 wherein the hierarchical trees comprise a group tree and a user tree.
- 46. (Previously presented) The method of claim 37 wherein the hierarchical trees comprise a group tree and an admin tree.

Response to Office Action of September 20, 2005

Application No. 10/038,203

Page 4

47. (Previously presented) The method of claim 37 wherein the hierarchical trees comprise a user tree and an admin tree.

- 48. (Previously presented) The method of claim 37 wherein the hierarchical trees comprise a group tree, a user tree, and an admin tree.
- 49. (Previously presented) The method of claim 37 wherein the hierarchical trees are DOM trees.
- 50. (Previously presented) The method of claim 49 wherein the DOM trees are XML DOM trees.
 - 51. (Previously presented) The method of claim 37 further comprising: printing the merged tree.
 - 52. (Previously presented) A data processing system comprising: a memory comprising a program that:

examines the nodes in a plurality of hierarchical trees;

determines if a node is present in only one tree by comparing two or more of the hierarchical trees; and

creates a merged tree based on the nodes in the hierarchical trees; and a processor for running the program.

53. (Previously presented) The data processing system of claim 52 wherein the program further:

creates a reference node to the node determined to be present in only one tree if a node is determined to be present in only one tree; and

adds the reference node to the merged tree.

54. (Previously presented) The data processing system of claim 53 wherein the program further:

creates the reference node in response to a determination that a node is present in only one tree.

- 55. (Previously presented) The data processing system of claim 53 wherein the reference node is a pointer.
- 56. (Previously presented) The data processing system of claim 53 wherein the reference node is a Java reference.
- 57. (Previously presented) The data processing system of claim 52 wherein the program further:

determines if the hierarchical trees comprise a set of equivalent nodes.

Response to Office Action of September 20, 2005

Application No. 10/038,203

Page 6

58. (Previously presented) The data processing system of claim 57 wherein the

program further:

selects the node with the highest priority from the set of equivalent nodes if the

hierarchical trees comprise a set of equivalent nodes.

59. (Previously presented) The data processing system of claim 58 wherein the

program further:

creates a shallow clone of the selected node; and

adds the shallow clone to the merged tree.

60. (Previously presented) The data processing system of claim 52 wherein the

hierarchical trees comprise a group tree and a user tree.

61. (Previously presented) The data processing system of claim 52 wherein the

hierarchical trees comprise a group tree and an admin tree.

62. (Previously presented) The data processing system of claim 52 wherein the

hierarchical trees comprise a user tree and an admin tree.

63. (Previously presented) The data processing system of claim 52 wherein the

hierarchical trees comprise a group tree, a user tree, and an admin tree.

- 64. (Previously presented) The data processing system of claim 52 wherein the hierarchical trees are DOM trees.
- 65. (Previously presented) The data processing system of claim 64 wherein the DOM trees are XML DOM trees.
- 66. (Previously presented) The data processing system of claim 52 wherein the program further:

prints the merged tree.

67. (Previously presented) A computer-readable medium comprising instructions for controlling a data processing system to perform a method comprising the steps of:

examining the nodes in a plurality of hierarchical trees;

determining if a node is present in only one tree by comparing two or more of the hierarchical trees; and

creating a merged tree based on the nodes in the hierarchical trees.

68. (Previously presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 67 wherein the method further comprises the steps of:

creating a reference node to the node determined to be present in only one tree if a node is determined to be present in only one tree; and

adding the reference node to the merged tree.

69. (Previously presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 68 wherein the method further comprises the step of:

creating the reference node in response to a determination that a node is present in only one tree.

- 70. (Previously presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 68 wherein the reference node is a pointer.
- 71. (Previously presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 68 wherein the reference node is a Java reference.
- 72. (Previously presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 67 wherein the method further comprises the step of:

determining if the hierarchical trees comprise a set of equivalent nodes.

73. (Previously presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 72 wherein the method further comprises the step of:

selecting the node with the highest priority from the set of equivalent nodes if the hierarchical trees comprise a set of equivalent nodes.

74. (Previously presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 73 wherein the method further comprises the steps of:

creating a shallow clone of the selected node; and adding the shallow clone to the merged tree.

- 75. (Previously presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 67 wherein the hierarchical trees comprise a group tree and a user tree.
- 76. (Previously presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 67 wherein the hierarchical trees comprise a group tree and an admin tree.
- 77. (Previously presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 67 wherein the hierarchical trees comprise a user tree and an admin tree.
- 78. (Previously presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 67 wherein the hierarchical trees comprise a group tree, a user tree, and an admin tree.
- 79. (Previously presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 67 wherein the hierarchical trees are DOM trees.
- 80. (Previously presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 79 wherein the DOM trees are XML DOM trees.

Response to Office Action of September 20, 2005 Application No. 10/038,203 Page 10

81. (Previously presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 67 wherein the method further comprises the step of:

printing the merged tree.